Facile polymerization in a bicellar template to produce polymer nano-rings
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GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

Crosslinked polymer nano-rings are produced in well-defined discoidal bicelles. The enhanced immiscibility in the planar region drives the hydrophobic polymers to the fluidic rim. The platform can be generalized for synthesis of other hydrophobic polymer nano-rings.
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ABSTRACT

Hypothesis: A well-defined discoidal bicelle composed of three lipids, specifically zwitterionic long-chain 1,2 dipalmitoyl phosphocholine (DPPC) and short-chain 1,2 dihexanoyl phosphocholine (DHPC) doped with anionic 1,2 dipalmitoyl phosphoglycerol (DPPG) provides a generalized template for the synthesis of hydrophobic polymer nano-rings. The lipid molar ratio of DPPC/DHPC/DPPG is 0.71/0.25/0.04. The detailed investigation and discussion were based on styrene but tested on three other vinyl monomers.

Experiments: The structure of nano-rings is identified through the detailed analysis of small angle X-ray/neutron scattering (SAXS and SANS) data and transmission electron micrographs (TEM), supported by the differential scanning calorimetric (DSC) data before and after polymerization. The investigation covers samples with a styrene-to-lipid ratio ranged varied from 1:50 to 1:10.

Findings: The styrene monomers are initially located at both the discoidal planar (long-chain lipid rich) and rim (short-chain lipid rich) regions. During polymerization, they migrate to the more fluid rim section. The formation mechanism involves the interplay of hydrophobic interaction, mismatched miscibility of polystyrene between the ordered and disordered phases, and crystallinity of the long lipid acyl chains. This facile synthesis is proven applicable for several hydrophobic monomers. The well-defined nano-rings greatly enhance the interfacial area and have the potential to be the building blocks for functional materials, if monomers are incorporated with desirable functions, for future applications.
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1. Introduction

Emulsion polymerization is a highly efficient and environmentally-friendly process, which is applicable to hydrophobic monomers, including styrene, vinyl acetate, acrylate etc. [1,2], to yield high molecular weights at a rapid reaction rate due to the fact that the free radicals grow in a relatively isolated environment [3]. Emulsions are normally utilized as the templates for spherical latexes [4–6], polymeric capsules [2,7–10], or porous materials [11–13] with length scales above 50 nm to several microns. The great challenge of emulsion polymerization is thermodynamic instability of monomer droplets, causing coalescence of droplets (known as Ostwald ripening) driven by minimization of the interfacial area [14–16]. Although the Pickering emulsifiers [17] can protect the emulsions against coalescence, controllable sizes of droplets < 50 nm remained difficult [18,19].

Polymerization-induced self-assembly (PISA) [20] presents another approach to generate polymeric nanoparticles with tunable sizes and shapes [21–23]. Morphological transitions from spheres to various high order structures, such as worm-like micelles, lamellae, vesicles, toroids and other more complex morphologies have been reported [24–28]. However, the challenge of PISA lies in controlling and predicting the morphology, which requires a comprehensive study of the phase diagram [29].

Lipids can robustly self-assemble into well-defined morphologies, thus serving as a good template for controllable polymerization [30]. It has been reported that a lipid mixture, bicolle, composed of long-chain (L) and short-chain (S) lipids with a molar ratio in the range of 2≤[L]/[S]≤5, can yield uniform discs (low polydispersity in diameter) with high stability [31,32]. The formation mechanism of nanodiscs is attributed to the hydrophobic interactions, segregation of S from L lipids, appropriate molecular spontaneous curvatures (low-curvature L and high-curvature S) and sufficient surface charged density [33]. Tekobo et al. reported the synthesis of crosslinked polystyrene (PS) discs in the bicolle made of dimyristoyl phosphatidylcholine (DMPC, di-C14PC) and dhexanoyl phosphocholine (DHPC, di-C16PC) [34,35]. The conclusion was drawn based on a few disk-like particles in the transmission electron micrographs (TEM). It is not clear if PS disks represent the majority of morphological distribution. Contrarily, it has been reported that hydrophobic nanoparticulates such as Au-clusters [36,37], metallo-supramolecules [38], or quantum dots (QDs) [39] are preferably located at the high-curvature fluid rim of bicolles made of 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC, di-C16PC) and DHPC doped with long-chain anionic 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho(1′-rac-glycerol) (DPPG, di-C16PG). The different outcomes of the preferred locations for foreign species in bicolles lead to an important question: how do discoidal bicolles entrap other hydrophobic molecules or nanoparticles?

Here, we report a detailed study to understand the internal distribution of hydrophobic monomers (prior to polymerization) and polymers (after polymerization) in a DPPC/DPPG/DHPC bicolle system. Note that the melting transition temperature, \( T_m \), of DPPC (41 °C) is higher than that of DMPC (23 °C). The bicolle nanodisc is expected to be more stable, allowing the polymerization to take place below the \( T_m \). Well-defined PS nano-rings were found in the bicolles as characterized by small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS), small angle neutron scattering (SANS) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The structure agrees with the unique variation between the high-sensitive differential scanning calorimetric (DSC) data before and after polymerization. This approach is applicable to other hydrophobic monomers and can be a generalized facile method for manufacturing functional polymer nanorings in the future.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC), 1,2-dihexanoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DHPC) and 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-(1′-rac-glycerol) (sodium salt) (DPPG) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL) and used without further purification. The chemical structures of DPPC, DHPC, and DPPG are presented in Fig. 1. It is known that anionic DPPC stabilizes the discoidal morphology of bicolles [33]. The styrene, divinylbenzene (DVB, crosslinker), lithium phenyl (2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyl) phosphate (initiator), 4-methylstyrene, vinyl acetate, and dodecyl methacrylate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The DVB crosslinker was used for all types of vinyl monomers in this study. The deuterium oxide (D2O) and deuterated styrene (styrene-d6) were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories. Acidic and basic aluminum oxide were utilized to remove the inhibitor from monomers. These two materials were also purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

2.2. Structure of monomers encapsulated bicolles before and after polymerization

The bicolle mixture contains three types of lipids: DPPC, DPPG and DHPC with a molar ratio of total long-chain to short-chain lipid, \( Q =([\text{DPPC}] + [\text{DPPG}])/[\text{DHPC}] \) of 3 and a molar ratio of long-chain anionic lipid to total long-chain lipid, \( R = ([\text{DPPG}] + [\text{DPPC}] )/[\text{DHPC}] \) of 0.05. All dry lipid powders were homogeneously dissolved in chloroform with appropriate ratio and amount. After the removal of most chloroform by using nitrogen, individual samples were subjected to vacuum overnight [31]. Afterwards, the vinyl monomer (styrene, vinyl acetate, dodecyl methacrylate, or methyl styrene) and DVB (at a molar ratio of 7:1) was added to the dried lipids at three molar ratios = ([monomer]+[DVB])/[total lipid], i.e., 1:50, 1:20 and 1:10, respectively. The individual samples were then hydrated to a lipid concentration, \( C_p \), of 20.0 wt% by H2O (for SAXS, TEM, WAXS and DSC studies), or D2O or contrast-matched water (for SANS study) for stock solutions. Two groups of samples were polymerized at different \( C_p \) (either 20.0 or 1 wt %). The outcomes were not significantly different except for SB-10. The samples with ([monomer]+[DVB])/[total lipid] = 1/50, 1/20 and 1/10 are denoted by SB-50, SB-20, and SB-10, respectively. Other monomer-containing (vinyl acetate, dodecyl methacrylate, and methyl styrene) bicolle mixtures were prepared with ([monomer]+). The high-\( C_p \) samples (i.e., 20 wt%) underwent 10 thermal cycles between 4 and 60 °C with vortexing at each cycle until they were fully dissolved in water, where the solution is fluid at low \( T \) (≤ room T) but viscous at high \( T \) (>35 °C). The rheological response has been reported in literature [31] and is attributed to the structural transformation of bicolles into interpenetrated perforated lamellae [40,41] upon increasing \( T \). It should be noted that the structures are reversible upon \( T \)-cycling at this high \( C_p \) (≈20 wt %). [31,42] Polymerization was initiated by a photochemical initiator, lithium phenyl-2,4,6-trimethylbenzoylphosphinate, with a monomer-to-initiator ratio of 1:2 due to the existing oxygen effect.
2.3. Polymerization monitored by UV–vis

The extent of polymerization was evaluated by the UV–vis absorbance at 282 nm, reflecting the quantity of styrene. \([35,44]\) The total volume for evaluating polymerization is \(\sim 1\) ml. Multiple 5 ml aliquots were taken from the same mixture (styrene/bicelle) at 0, 1, 5, 7, 24, and 48 h and were extracted by 1 ml chloroform. The UV–vis absorption spectra were measured using PerkinElmer Lambda 1050 from 200 to 500 nm. Complete polymerization was determined by the invariant absorbance at 282 nm.

2.4. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

The TEM images were acquired using FEI Tecnai T12 with accelerating voltage 80 kV. Polymerization at \(C_{ip} = 1\) wt% of PS–50, PS–20, Poly(vinyl acetate) (PVAc)-bicelle, Poly(dodecyl methacrylate) (PC12MA)-bicelle, and Poly(4 methyl styrene) (P4MS)-bicelle solutions were first diluted to \(C_{ip} = 0.002\) wt%. Then, 3 µl of the diluted solution was deposited on the 200 mesh copper grids covered with carbon film. The samples were negatively stained by 0.5 wt% of uranyl acetate before imaging. Noted that the lipid-removed PSB-50, PSB-20 samples were not negatively stained. The grids were dried at room temperature overnight.

2.5. Small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS)

SAXS experiments were conducted at the 16ID-LIX Beamline (National Synchrotron Light Source II, located at the Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY). The \(C_{ip}\) of all samples is 1 wt%. The SAXS measurements were conducted using the standard flow-cell for solution scattering setup with the energy of synchrotron to be 13.5 keV. SAXS intensity is expressed as a function of scattering vector, \(q\) defined as \(q = 4\pi\sin(\theta)/\lambda\), where \(\theta\) is the scattering angle and \(\lambda\) is the wavelength, covering a \(q\) range from 0.005 to 2.5 Å\(^{-1}\). Data reduction was obtained through radial average of intensity with respect to the beam center using Jupyter Notebook \([45]\). The contrast-matched contribution is presumably from PS. It should be noted that structure factor can be considered to be unity at the CM condition, i.e., interparticle interaction is negligible even at high \(C_{ip}\) \([46]\).

To increase the scattering intensity, CM samples were examined at a high \(C_{ip}(\approx 20\) wt%). The SAXS measurements were performed at CHRNS VSANS [located at the National Institute of Science and Technology (NIST) center for neutron research (NCNR, Gaithersburg, MD)] with the average neutron wavelength of 5 Å and a wavelength spread of 12.5 %, yielding a \(q\) range from 0.024 to 0.8 Å\(^{-1}\). The front and middle detectors were used for low and high \(q\) data collection, respectively. The 2D raw data were corrected by the detector sensitivity, background, scattering and transmission of empty cells and sample transmission. The corrected data were then circularly averaged to produce 1D profiles and the intensity was put on the absolutely scale based on the incident beam flux. The data reduction package was provided by NCNR using the IGOR Pro software.

2.6. Contrast-matched (CM) SANS

The contrast-matched (CM) SANS experiment provides detailed internal structural information of the bicelle as the neutron scattering length density (NSLD) of solvent, \(p_{solvent}\), approximates the average NSLD of lipid (DPPG, DPPC and DHPC) mixture, which is \(2.72 \times 10^{-7}\) Å\(^{-2}\), calculated by an online SLD calculator (https://www.ncnr.nist.gov/resources/activation/). \([42]\) The CM composition of \(\text{D}_{2}\text{O}/\text{H}_{2}\text{O}\) for the bicelle is therefore determined to be 12/88 by volume. It should be noted that contrast-matched condition greatly reduces the scattered intensity, yielding very noisy low-\(q\) data. However, such approach highlights the location of styrene or PS in bicelles if deuterated styrene is used as the only scattering contribution is presumably from PS. It should be noted that structure factor can be considered to be unity at the CM condition, i.e., interparticle interaction is negligible even at high \(C_{ip}\) \([46]\).

To increase the scattering intensity, CM samples were examined at a high \(C_{ip}(\approx 20\) wt%). The SANS measurements were performed at CHRS VSANS [located at the National Institute of Science and Technology (NIST) center for neutron research (NCNR, Gaithersburg, MD)] with the average neutron wavelength of 5 Å and a wavelength spread of 12.5 %, yielding a \(q\) range from 0.024 to 0.8 Å\(^{-1}\). The front and middle detectors were used for low and high \(q\) data collection, respectively. The 2D raw data were corrected by the detector sensitivity, background, scattering and transmission of empty cells and sample transmission. The corrected data were then circularly averaged to produce 1D profiles and the intensity was put on the absolutely scale based on the incident beam flux. The data reduction package was provided by NCNR using the IGOR Pro software.

2.7. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)

DSC measurements were conducted using a NanoDSC (TA Instruments, New Castle, DE). All the samples were measured at \(C_{ip} = 1.0\) wt%. The 0.5 ml of DI water and sample were loaded in the reference and sample cells, respectively. The experimental pressure was kept at 3 atm. Before each measurement, samples were equilibrated at 30 °C for 10 min. The data of both sample and solvent background were collected from 30 to 55 °C at the rate of 1 °C/minute in sequence to ensure the consistency of instrument performance. The baseline was adjusted by using TA Instrument software.

2.8. Wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXS)

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur PX Ultra (transmission mode) were used for WAXS measurements with Onyx detector (CuK\(\alpha\) radiation...
1.54 Å, double mirror focusing, 35 kV and 35 mV). The SB- and PSB- samples ($C_p = 20$ wt%) were loaded in 1-mm quartz capillaries, and sealed by wax. The scattering patterns were analyzed by PDXI software [47]. It should be mentioned that the WAXS data of water background were subtracted from those of the individual samples.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. SAXS measurements – the global structure

The morphologies of pristine bicelles (SB-0), the styrene-to-lipid ratios of 1:50 (SB-50), 1:20 (SB-20), and 1:10 (SB-10) with 1 wt% lipid concentration ($C_p$) were first evaluated by SANS (Fig. 2). The SAXS data exhibit a similar pattern for $q > 0.04$ Å$^{-1}$, corresponding to the invariant lipid bilayer structure, but the low-$q$ data ($q < 0.03$ Å$^{-1}$) exhibits some deviation, suggesting different discoidal radii. All SAXS data were fitted by five-layer core shell discoidal model [5LCS, Fig. 2(a)]. The five layers account for two phosphate shells, two layers of ordered, high-density hydrocarbons and one centered layer of less-ordered, low-density hydrophobic regions. Note that only this model can simultaneously describe both low- and high- $q$ SAXS data and reveal detailed internal bilayer structure and electron density of each region of the disc. [48] The low-$q$ peak at $\sim 0.01$ Å$^{-1}$ originates from structure factor, $S(q)$ due to the strong Coulombic interaction among bicelles. The Hayter-Penfold mean spherical approximation (H-PMSA) model is employed to estimate $S(q)$ [49,50] due to the Coulombic interaction of DPPG. It should be noted that structure factor mainly affects the scattering pattern at $q < 0.02$ Å$^{-1}$ and has weak influence on characteristic length $< 300$ Å. The best-fitting parameters (Table 1) show that the core radius ($R$) increases from 75 (±4) Å of SB-0 to 110 (±3) Å of SB-10, consistent with the peak shift of structure factor from a higher to lower $q$ value (i.e., increased interparticle spacing). The best fits reveal that the discoidal structure retains after the encapsulation of styrene. Polymerization of styrene was then conducted via UV ($\lambda = 365$ nm) at 25 °C for 48 h until the UV–vis absorption spectra are nearly invariant (Fig. S2), ensuring PS in the bicelles [35,51]. Although the SAXS patterns of the PS/bicelle samples (hereinafter referred to as PSB-50, PSB-20 and PSB-10) [Fig. 2(b)] appear differently from those of their styrene counterparts, the 5LCS model fits well to all data and the best fitting outcomes indicate that both $R$ and rim thickness ($t_r$) increase compared with SB series (Table 1). The enlarged $t_r$ of PSB samples compared to the corresponding SB samples suggests PS preferably locates at the rim of discs. The increased $R$ in the PSB samples partially inherits from the SB samples, which are slightly larger than that of the pristine bicelle, and may also originate from the fusion between disks. It has been reported that such fusion takes place at higher $T$ or lower lipid concentration. [32] Since polymerization is an exothermic process leading to higher temperature in the local environment of the bicelles, it promotes the fusion of the disks resulting in enhanced $R$ in the PSB-50, PSB-20 and PSB-10 samples. The discoidal dimensions revealed in transmission electron microscopic (TEM) micrographs (Fig. S3) are consistent with SAXS best fitting results.

3.2. SANS and TEM outcomes – nano-rings structure

The CM SANS experiments were conducted to examine the location of styrene and PS in bicelles. Here, deuterated styrene (styrene-$d_8$) and protiated lipids were used to enhance the contrast. The NSLD of solvent, $\rho_{solvent}$ is adjusted to match the average NSLD of lipids, $\rho_{lipid}$, i.e., $\rho_{solvent} \sim \rho_{lipid} = 2.72 \times 10^{-7}$ Å$^{-2}$ with a volume composition of H$_2$O/D$_2$O = 8/12 (Fig. S4) [42,52]. Ideally, the scattering is mainly contributed from the contrast between the PS-d (or styrene-$d_8$) and the environment (i.e., lipids and water).

![Fig. 2](image-url)
All the CM samples were prepared at a Clp of 20 wt% because of low scattering intensity. Note that perfect CM condition is not achievable due to the internal contrast of lipid bilayer as hydrophilic and hydrophobic cannot be simultaneously matched by solvent [52].

Fig. 3(a) shows the SANS data of SB-0, SB-50, SB-20, and SB-10 and their best fits using a core–shell discoidal (CSD) model (Fig. S1) to minimize the number of fitting parameters. The best fit of SB-10 is not included in Fig. 3(a) because the system was converted into lamellae at Clp = 10 wt% judged by the SAXS data (Fig. S5). As a result, our analysis mainly focuses on the SB-50 and SB-20. The large error bars in low-q regime [Fig. 1(a)] originates from the low contrast between lipids and solvent at the CM condition.

The SANS data of SB-0, SB-50, and SB-20 are practically identical with no statistically significant difference in the best fitting parameters (Table 2) which are also in good agreement with the SAXS best-fitting outcomes (Table 1). Besides, $\rho_{rim}$ is practically invar-

### Table 1

The best fitting parameters of SAXS through 5LSCD model before and after polymerization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Before Polymerization</th>
<th>After Polymerization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SB-0</td>
<td>SB-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (Å)</td>
<td>75 ± 4</td>
<td>80 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_r$ (Å)</td>
<td>38 ± 3</td>
<td>40 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{methylene}$ (Å)</td>
<td>13 ± 1</td>
<td>13 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{methyl}$ (Å)</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced $\chi^2$</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The CSD model was able to describe the SANS pattern well (Fig. S7), but the addition of styrene is distributed in the bicelles homogeneously. The DSC thermal parameters by keeping the hydrophilic shell thickness ($h$) and 1.1 $10^{-6}$ Å$^{-2}$, respectively, with the NSLD of hydrophilic shell ($\rho_{\text{rim2}}$) and $\rho_{\text{rim2}}$ are 4.0 $10^{-7}$ Å$^{-2}$ and 8.0 $10^{-7}$ Å$^{-2}$, respectively, with the assumption of a uniform rim in CSD model, which imposes the constraint that PS is homogeneously distributed in the rim, and thus the contrast is “falsely” increased leading to overestimating the composition of PS in the system. In order to correct this issue, a new Sandwiched-Rim CSD (SR-CSD) scattering model is developed with the flexibility of a composite rim made of a central PS-rich, rim1, vertically sandwiched by two symmetric lipid-rich, rim2 of the same width as depicted in Fig. 3(d). The height and the NSLD of rim1 are assigned as $h$ and $\rho_{\text{rim1}}$, respectively. During the fitting process, we minimize the number of fitting parameters by keeping the hydrophilic shell thickness ($t_h$), hydrophobic length ($t$), and NSLD of hydrophilic shell ($\rho_{\text{rim1}}$) the same as the best fitting values obtained from SB-0, i.e., 13 Å, 27 Å, and 1.1 $10^{-6}$ Å$^{-2}$, respectively. The NSLD of rim 2, $\rho_{\text{rim2}}$, is also set the same as $\rho_{\text{rim1}} (=1.0\times10^{-7}$ Å$^{-2}$ based on SB-0). Note that a H-PMMA structure factor is required to describe the peak at $q \approx 0.023$ Å$^{-1}$ in the case of PSB-20 because of the deviation of contrast-matched condition at such high PS composition. Table 4 shows the best fitting values of $\rho_{\text{rim1}}$ obtained from PSB-50 and PSB-20 are $4.0\times10^{-7}$ Å$^{-2}$ and $8.0\times10^{-7}$ Å$^{-2}$, respectively, with $\chi^2$.

### Table 2
The fitting results of SB-0, SB-50, and SB-20 through the CSD model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>SB-0</th>
<th>SB-50</th>
<th>SB-20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R$ (Å)</td>
<td>85 ± 4</td>
<td>90 ± 4</td>
<td>90 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$ (Å)</td>
<td>29 ± 1</td>
<td>29 ± 1</td>
<td>29 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$ (Å)</td>
<td>18 ± 2</td>
<td>20 ± 2</td>
<td>20 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{\text{core}}$ ($10^6$ Å$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>-0.37 ± 0.02</td>
<td>-0.34 ± 0.02</td>
<td>-0.34 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced $\chi^2$</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3
The $T_m$ of DPPC and $T_{\text{trans}}$ of structural transformation before and after polymerization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Before polymerization</th>
<th>After polymerization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_m$ (°C)</td>
<td>$T_{\text{trans}}$ (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB-0</td>
<td>41.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>44.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB-50</td>
<td>40.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>43.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB-20</td>
<td>39.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>42.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The values are presented as average and standard deviation from two separate samples. The data were fitted from the second heating scan for each measurement.*

### Table 4
The best fitted results of SB-0, PSB-50, and PSB-20 by using SR-CSD model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>SB-0</th>
<th>PSB-50</th>
<th>PSB-20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume ratio of styrene-to-lipid (as-prepared value)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$ (Å)</td>
<td>80 ± 5</td>
<td>80 ± 10</td>
<td>168 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$ (Å)</td>
<td>19 ± 1</td>
<td>23 ± 3</td>
<td>55 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{\text{core}}$ ($10^6$ Å$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>-0.45 ± 0.01</td>
<td>-0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-0.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$ (Å)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume ratio of styrene-to-lipid (Based on fitting results)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced $\chi^2$</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 4. The TEM micrographs of (a) SB-0, (b) PS nano-rings (PSB-20) after removal of phospholipids via MeOH. Noted that the samples are not negatively stained.](image-url)

$h = 8.5$ Å, yielding the volume fractions of PS to be 0.0029 and 0.0078, respectively, similar to the added styrene in SB-50 and SB-20. TEM images in Fig. 4 illustrate the SB-0 and PSB-20 after the removal of phospholipids with methanol (MeOH) washing as described in Materials and Methods. It clearly shows the structure of nano-rings as marked by the boxes of Fig. 4(b) and the diameter of nano-rings is ~ 300 (±80) Å agreeing with our interpretation of SAXS and SANS results. Noted that several black dots in Fig. 4(b) may result from the nano-ring aggregates due to the drying process or polymer complexed with lipid residues in the solution. More TEM images of PS nano-rings are presented in Fig. S8. One would expect the polymer nano-rings are not cyclic single chain but cross-linked PS due to the addition of DVB.
samples of styrene-to-DPPC ratios of 1:50 and 1:5 after polymerization (Fig. S10 and Table S2). The fact that the DPPC melting peak becomes broader and the $\Delta H_{m,DPPC}$ decreases significantly with increased PS composition, suggestings reduction of cooperativity in DPPC gel phase as reported in literature [55]. Hence, the unique enhancement of both $T_m$ and $\Delta H_{m,DPPC}$ in PSB-20 and PSB-50 can be rationalized by the increased lateral pressure imposed by cross-linked PS at the DHPC-rich rim. The narrower FWHM of the diffraction peak from the chain-chain correlation of DPPC for PSB-20 and PSB-50 samples in a wide-angle X-ray scattering experiment (Fig. S11 and Table S2) provides further evidence of larger domain of orderly-packed DPPC in presence of PS than pristine bicelles. The higher $T_m$ of PSB and the sharper WAXS peak of the chain-chain correlation from PSB samples compared to those from the corresponding SB samples confirm that PS, unlike styrene, segregates from the DPPC, indirectly suggesting the formation of a nano-ring that “buckles” the bicelle.

### 3.4. The mechanism for the formation of polymer nano-rings

The aforementioned results not only demonstrate a protocol for the synthesis of well-defined PS nano-rings but also suggest several intriguing discoveries during the process. Upon self-assembly the monomers presumably mix with lipids forming the discoidal bicelles. Polymerization does not perturb the discoidal structure but draw monomers to the rim area, forming PS nano-rings.

The fundamental Flory-Huggins solution theory proves that high degree of polymerization reduces the entropy of polymer chain, increasing immiscibility between polymer and solvent. By the same reason, enhanced immiscibility between DPPC and PS is expected in comparison to that between DPPC and styrene monomers. Furthermore, the depletion of PS from the DPPC promotes crystallization of the DPPC gel phase, which further reduces the energy of the system. As a result, PS in the planar region migrates to more fluid DHPC-rich rim, which has been proven to be able to accommodate larger hydrophobic species such as supramolecules [38], Au nanoclusters [36,37], and quantum dots [39] due to the adjustable molecular curvature. The entrapped styrene in planar bilayer continues to diffuse to rim, feeding monomers to the active PS (with radicals), and eventually forming PS nano-rings. Our future study will extend to the controllable ring size through thermal annealing around $T_m$ [33,56], leading to a robust well-controlled platform to synthesize polymer nano-rings. In spite of several examples of toroidal shape through the self-assembled with multi-step reactions in literature [27,57–64], a facile synthesis of polymer nano-rings is first-time reported here. It is noteworthy that the synthetic platform of nano-rings can be generalized for other hydrophobic polymers. We have used the same platform for three other monomers, i.e., vinyl acetate, dodecyl methacrylate, and 4 methyl styrene. All TEM micrographs (Fig. S12) indicate discoidal structures after polymerization. Furthermore, the DSC thermograms consistently show enhanced $T_m$ of DPPC (> 41 °C) as observed in the PSB (Fig. S13 and Table S4), demonstrating that this protocol can be a generalized synthetic platform to produce polymer nano-rings.

### 4. Conclusion

We have demonstrated a facile synthesis of well-defined polymer nano-rings, which are not accessible with conventional emulsion polymerization. [18] The bicelle, a robust self-assembly, serves as an excellent generalized template for controllable polymerization of nanoscale colloids [30]. The formation of nano-rings is attributed to the fact that the rim of bicellar discs is more “inclusive” to the foreign hydrophobic species with nanoscale than the planar region is [37–39]. The interpretation of CM SANS data and TEM images coherently confirm the formation of polymer nano-rings. The DSC thermograms further suggest demixing of polymers from long-chain lipids due to reduced entropy. The research outcome provides the insight into combinational effects of hydrophobic interactions, mismatched miscibility of polymers between the ordered and disordered phases, and crystallinity of the long lipid acyl chains on final morphology. Although toroidal-shaped polymers could be synthesized from self-assembly of block copolymers or chiral azobenzene dimers with multi-step reactions [27,57–64], the facile synthesis of polymer nano-rings is the first-time presented here. The advanced methodology potentially changes the paradigm of polymerization to construct well-defined and well-controlled nanoscale morphologies. The understanding of the formation mechanism is fundamentally important for general colloid, polymer and surfactant systems. Because a nano-ring has a high interfacial area, the structure will be an excellent building block for future applications in protein separation, catalyst design, electronic devices or nanomedicines after functionalization of the polymers. We aim to widen the controllable size range of the rings (e.g., > 100 nm) through thermal annealing [56], functionalize the side-group of polymers, synthesize Janus rings or conductive rings, which are not accessible in current colloidal synthesis.

![Fig. 5. (a) The DSC thermograms of PSB-50 and PSB-20. (b) The enthalpy of SB-0, PSB-50, and PSB-20. The values are presented as average and standard deviation from two separate samples. The data were analyzed from the second heating scan for each measurement.](image-url)
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